

**Tooele City Council
Business Meeting Minutes**

Date: Wednesday, October 4, 2006

Time: 7:00 P.M.

Place: Tooele City Hall Large Conference Room Council Chambers
90 North Main Street, Tooele, Utah

City Council Members Present:

Mike Johnson, Chair

Scott Wardle

John Hansen

Steve Bevan

Steve Pruden

City Employees Present:

Patrick Dunlavy, Mayor

Roger Baker, City Attorney

Glenn Caldwell, Finance Director

Ron Kirby, Chief of Police

Cary Campbell, Director of Public Works

Paul Hansen, Contract City Engineer

Sharon Dawson, City Recorder

Lisa Carpenter, Deputy Recorder and City Council Liaison

Casey Allie, Director of Parks and Recreation

Terra Sherwood, Youth Programs Coordinator

Doreen Outzen, Parks and Recreation

Kathy Harris, Parks and Recreation

Minutes prepared by Andrea Cahoon

The meeting was called to order by Chairman Johnson at 7:01 p.m. He welcomed former Mayor Charlie Roberts who was in attendance.

1. Pledge of Allegiance

The pledge of allegiance was led by former Mayor Charlie Roberts.

Chairman Johnson acknowledged the presence of Boy Scout Troop 126 working on their Citizenship in the Community merit badge, and also some high school students working on a Civics class project

2. Mayor's Community Recognition Awards

Presented by Mayor Dunlavy and Councilman Pruden

Councilman Pruden said this is an award that recognizes outstanding student citizens throughout the City. The recipients are nominated by the teachers and/or administrators of their school. He then presented the awards to Brittney Bissinger from Harris Elementary and Tanner Barton from Copper Canyon Elementary school.

3. Open Forum for Public Comment

Chairman Johnson invited anyone from the public who wished to address the Council to come forward and do so.

No one came forward to address the Council.

Councilman Bevan moved to close the open forum. Councilman Hansen seconded the motion. All members present voted, "Aye." The open forum closed at 7:06 p.m.

Chairman Johnson welcomed David McCall from the Tooele Elks and invited him to come forward.

Mr. McCall informed the Council he was here to name the winner of the BPOE Elks Citizen of the Year Award. He presented the award to Terra Sherwood, the City's Youth Programs Coordinator, and presented her with a plaque, certificate, and pin. Chairman Johnson congratulated her. Mayor Dunlavy commented that Terra made her programs what they are. She does a great job and it is a pleasure to work with her. She is a prime example of what makes Tooele the greatest city in Utah.

4. PUBLIC HEARING and Motion on Amended Plat for Tooele Town Center No. 4 Subdivision to Modify Lot Sizes of Two Lots Located at 1000 North Main Street

Presented by Cary Campbell

Mr. Campbell explained the location of this property and stated it is part of the Macey's complex. The applicants have asked to redefine two lots. This was taken to the Planning Commission and they recommended approval with the addition of two conditions:

1. The landscaping to the rear of the development along 100 East must be completed and maintained.
2. The plaza on the northwest corner of the Macey's building complex has asphalt that comes right up to it so cars drive on it. The asphalt needs to be removed so there is a bumper stop. The plaza was originally designed as a place to sit and eat and when the asphalt driveway was constructed for TFCU the asphalt was put up onto the patio.

Councilman Bevan said that the Planning Commission minutes recommend that the City Council not approve the plat until those two items are rectified. He asked if they had been rectified? Mr. Campbell answered, "No."

The applicant had sent a letter requesting that he be allowed to stripe the area referenced in condition two and Councilman Wardle asked if that would take care of the issue? Mr. Campbell answered, "No." The asphalt needs to be cut down.

Chairman Johnson asked what the staff wanted the Council to do? It was determined that they would approve it with the conditions tonight, but they would not sign the plat until the conditions were met.

Chairman Johnson stated this was a public hearing.

Carl Pitt, the applicant representing Amsource, informed the Council that they have two parties interested in purchasing these properties. The purchase contracts are conditioned upon the plat

being amended within a certain time period. They have submitted a landscape plan to the City and should begin work on that within ten days. The work should take two weeks to complete. The plaza's problem referenced in the conditions was created when the credit union realigned the drive approach. They paved the back section in order to make that more accessible and aesthetically pleasing and in the process the paver paved up curbs. They will do the relocation work with the drive approach this spring. At that time he promised to take the plaza out and redo it entirely. The letter he sent to the Council proposing a striping plan was for an interim solution until that work is completed. The applicant indicated they can't accomplish the work this fall to get that relocated. He has tried to get people to come in to do it, but he can't. His concern was if they don't get the plats recorded in accordance with the purchase contracts, the tenants can walk away.

Councilman Wardle asked if the applicant's temporary solution would meet the City's needs until it could be properly repaired in the spring? Mr. Campbell said they were under the impression that would be taken care of by now. He felt it should have already been done.

Chairman Johnson offered the following solution: The City wants the life health safety issues taken care of in a timely manner. The applicant has proposed time lines to rectify the problems. He asked that Mr. Pitt and Amsource post a bond for the improvements and if they are not done by June 1, 2007, the City will call the bond and do it themselves. Mr. Pitt said they would agree to do that for the plaza issue, but the landscaping should be done in three weeks and he didn't want to post a bond for that portion of it. Chairman Johnson said the City would not sign the plat until the landscaping was completed as required.

Councilman Wardle explained to Mr. Pitt that there is a certain level of frustration because the City has been promised several times that the landscaping would be done and it has not been done.

Mr. Pitt said if they have the landscaping started and substantially done, the City shouldn't hold up his plat. He said he was not here as part of a site development plan or a building permit application. This is a subdivision issue. He admitted that they had made promises in the past, and some have been hard to fulfill. This project has taken many years to develop. This will be a great looking center when it is completed.

Councilman Pruden said he was on the Council when the credit union went in and the driveway was supposed to be straightened at that time. It wasn't done. The landscaping was also discussed at that time and was not done either. He noted that the development has a huge drainage problem as well. He asked how far south they were completing the landscaping? Mr. Pitt said the landscaping is currently 20-30 feet past the drive approach. They will continue it south 800 feet, but are not going to extend it up to the undeveloped area. They will be going past the All a Dollar store to the paved lane that comes in and loops around.

Councilman Pruden expressed concern with their existing sprinkler system. Mr. Pitt explained they have a landscaping company from the Wasatch front that maintains the landscaping but it is tough to maintain on a Tuesday/Friday schedule for watering. Councilman Pruden told him that he lives in the area and maintains his lawn on that schedule just fine. He said that none of the tenants in that development know who to call if there are problems. Mr. Pitt informed them that every one of our tenants receives twice a month visits from them and have business cards. We just hired a new property manager four weeks ago who lives in Tooele. Hopefully that will improve the service to this development.

Mr. Baker advised the Council that it would be appropriate to withhold approval of this request until

commitments made on former approvals have been completed. The Council has suggested a method whereby they will approve the plat, such as a bond, but have not addressed the type and the amount of the bond. He recommended that they require a 200% cash bond. A 100% cash bond provides no incentive at all for the developer to complete the work as it would not cost the developer a penny more to do it or not to do it. Our Ordinance does provide for 200% cash bonds in similar circumstances. He informed the Council that he was not prepared to sign a plat until the improvements are completed or bonded for, including the landscaping. Chairman Johnson asked if he would be okay if they approved the plat subject to him posting a 200% cash bond for the improvements? Mr. Baker said that would be fine.

Mr. Hansen said he supported what Mr. Baker said; however, he requested that should the Council consider the motion tonight, that we still proceed with the immediate measures on that plaza (using curb stops or some other measure) to help protect against the life/health/safety issues. He stated he had not seen Mr. Pitt's letter of proposal, and he asked that he be given a chance to review that with Mr. Campbell and see if it is adequate as a temporary measure until the permanent repair is completed.

Councilman Hansen moved to close the public hearing. Councilman Wardle seconded the motion. All members present voted, "Aye." The public hearing closed at 7:32 p.m.

Councilman Hansen moved to approve the amended plat with 200% bonding on the corner plaza work and the condition that we will sign the plat after we see substantial completion of the landscaping. Councilman Wardle asked to add the following to the motion: There will be 200% bonding on the corner and the curb stops and striping will be put in before the plat is signed, the landscaping will be in before the plat is signed by the Council. The immediate remedies for the health and safety of our citizens will be installed based upon the recommendation of Paul Hansen and/or Cary Campbell. Mr. Baker said he didn't object to signing the plat before the landscaping is completed if it is part of the bond. Chairman Johnson said the developer can choose to either complete the landscaping or include it with the 200% cash bond before they will sign the plat. Councilman Wardle agreed to include the Chairman's remarks in his amendment to the motion. Councilman Hansen amended his motion to include all of Councilman Wardle and Chairman Johnson's comments. Councilman Wardle seconded the motion. The voting was as follows:

John Hansen	Aye	Scott Wardle	Aye
Steve Bevan	Aye	Mike Johnson	Aye
Steve Pruden	Nay		

The motion passed 4 to 1.

Mr. Hansen asked for clarification as to who will not sign the plat until the work is done? Chairman Johnson said he was referring to us as the City Council, but that could be whoever needs to sign it last.

Councilman Wardle addressed the applicant and stated we like your development, we just need you to comply. Mr. Pitt requested that one remaining party be the gate keeper signature. He didn't want to complete the work and then have to work for two weeks to collect the signatures. Chairman Johnson said he had no problem signing it tonight and having the Attorney's office hold it until the conditions are met. Mr. Campbell said he typically holds it and he would be happy to hold it until all of the conditions are satisfied. The Council agreed that it will sit in Cary Campbell's office until

all of the conditions are satisfied.

5. PUBLIC HEARING and MOTION on Preliminary Plat Approval for Copper Canyon PUD Phases 2-6 Located at 1000 North and 200 West

Presented by Cary Campbell

Mr. Campbell explained that the applicant has asked to do the Copper Canyon plats in a series of phases. There are fewer lots at this point than there was with the original application. He explained the location as over by the rail road tracks by the old waste water treatment plant. One-thousand North will border it on the north. Chairman Johnson mentioned that they approved an amended development agreement not too long ago. He asked if all of the public improvements were completed in phase one? Mr. Hansen responded that there were no outstanding issues.

The Council recalled that they were not going to have houses constructed between 1000 North and Tooele Boulevard because it is prime commercial property and they didn't want double frontage lots. There are about 15 homes shown there that will be double fronted and the street behind the homes will be a very busy street. Mr. Campbell said the only commercial area will be on the corner. Mr. Baker said that what was being shown was no different from what they approved in the development agreement. Councilman Wardle asked if they were constructing a masonry fence up the west side of 1000 North like they will along the tracks? Mr. Baker replied that was not a condition of the development agreement. They only required that along the railroad.

Councilman Pruden expressed concern with the safety of the green strip shown behind the houses. Mr. Baker explained that is to be a trail with parks on either end of the trail. The Council was concerned about who would maintain that area. Mr. Baker said the developer had given them detailed drawings about how it will be landscaped and maintained. It was narrowed at the City's request so there was not so much to maintain. It will not be any more dangerous than any trail anywhere. Councilman Wardle asked if the special service district will maintain that?

Chairman Johnson said that once the homes are sold it is very difficult to create a special service district. Mr. Baker said the development agreement requires that the special service district be in place prior to the approval of the final plat.

Chairman Johnson stated this was a public hearing.

Dennis Murray noted that the Council usually has the plats up on the screen for the public to view as well and wondered why it wasn't there. Chairman Johnson explained that the woman who usually takes care of that delivered twins last week and was not available to do it.

Jim Lawrence, scout leader from Boy Scout Troop 2085 stated they were working on their Citizenship in the Community merit badge and other requirements. He stated they hadn't seen the plat but assumed that 1000 North would be a limited access road. Paul Hansen said it is a limited access road. The gentleman pointed out that when there is limited access you can't avoid double fronted lots.

Mr. Baker said the developer did scale back the commercial to just the corner square of 200 West and 1000 North.

Councilman Wardle asked if there was someone from Fieldstone present? Burke Staker responded

he was. Councilman Wardle addressed him and said that their concern is that there be some way to keep the double frontage lots looking decent. Are they going to fence the north end of 1000 North and how will it be maintained? Mr. Staker said they don't like the double frontage lots; but they can't put the front of homes along 1000 North. The commercial parcel was retained by the original seller and Fieldstone doesn't own it. How can we force people to maintain those lots? We will have a homeowner's association and CC&Rs that require maintenance of the lots. Councilman Wardle asked if they were going to fence that along 1000 North and what material would be used? Mr. Staker said he did not have the development agreement and he couldn't remember. Chairman Johnson asked if they could charge the special service district with maintaining that? Mr. Baker said they negotiated this point in the development agreement. It requires that the special service district will maintain the sidewalks on the rear and side of the double frontage areas. Councilman Wardle asked who would maintain the sloped areas? Mr. Baker said that Tooele City does have sloped easements protecting the slope that goes down to the controlled access arterial. Although those slopes will be incorporated there are easements in place that will prevent buildings from being constructed on them. Councilman Pruden asked if the retention pond by the railroad tracks will be one of our soccer field retention ponds? Mr. Hansen said the development agreement calls for that area to be park-like. The level of amenities is not defined. We will look at that when we get the final designs to see what it can be. That will be done with the next phase. The special service district is a requirement of the development agreement that will be in place before we bring back the final plat. It is appropriate to require a fence along that high traffic level road. It is the Council's call if you require a masonry, vinyl, or other type of fence.

Councilman Wardle said if there is a place for children to play, the ball could go onto 1000 North and that is a safety issue. Mr. Staker said they do have requirements in the development agreement that outline the amenities required in the park to the east. There are no plans for amenities in that large detention basin. Councilman Pruden said the basin is supposed to be park-like. Mr. Staker said it is green and will be maintained by the special service district.

Mr. Baker said the development agreement does not go into detail if the retention area is to service two functions. There are two other major park areas in the development. He brought the development agreement so he could refer to it in the event questions came up, but has not reviewed it with detailed questions in mind. His office will study the development agreement more carefully.

Chairman Johnson stated this is only the preliminary plat. The Council wants to know whether they can require a fence along 1000 North before they approve the final plat.

Councilman Pruden moved to close the public hearing. Councilman Hansen seconded the motion. All members present voted, "Aye." The public hearing closed at 7:53 p.m.

Councilman Hansen moved to approve the preliminary plat approval for Copper Canyon PUD Phases 2-6 located at 1000 North and 200 West. Councilman Wardle seconded the motion. All members present voted, "Aye."

6. **PUBLIC HEARING on Ordinance 2006-18 to Amend Ordinance 2006-16 Allowing Used Car Sales in the MU-G Zone on a Minimum 30,000 Square Foot Lot with Access from an Arterial Road**

Presented by Cary Campbell

Mr. Campbell explained that two months ago the Council passed Ordinance 2006-16 because an

applicant wanted to sell used cars at the old liquor store location. The Council wanted to prevent used car lots from being in residential areas, so this was drafted to do so. It requires that a lot have 30,000 square feet and access from an arterial road if they want to have a used car lot. The only arterial road in this zone is Main Street. The Planning Commission recommended approval.

Chairman Johnson stated this was a public hearing and no one came forward to address the issue.

Councilman Pruden moved to close the public hearing. Councilman Hansen seconded the motion. All members present voted, "Aye." The public hearing closed at 7:55 p.m.

7. Motion on Ordinance 2006-18

Councilman Wardle moved to approve Ordinance 2006-18 allowing used car sales in the Mixed Use General zone with a minimum of a 30,000 square foot lot and access from an arterial road. Councilman Pruden seconded the motion. All members present voted, "Aye."

8. PUBLIC HEARING on Ordinance 2006-22 Regarding Minimum Design Standards for Single Family Residential Development

Presented by Cary Campbell

Mr. Campbell said the Planning Commission held a hearing on this last month and they recommended that the Council approve it with the following conditions:

1. The ordinance will not take affect until January 1, 2007. On that date a new building code will be in place and the builders are in the process of redesigning their plans to meet the new standards. They will also need to redesign their homes if their homes don't meet these criteria.
2. The ordinance will apply retroactively to all lots created under the land use master plan that was adopted in December of 1998. Before that time lots wouldn't conform and work with these standards. If a lot existed before that time, it will be exempted from these requirements.

The lots must be at least 6,000 square feet. The Planning Commission also determined that they did not care if the required two-car garages were attached or detached. The staff agreed with their recommendations.

They have a point system and the home must have something from each of the first five areas, then enough points to meet the minimum amount of points required for that style of home. He had a couple of concerns. On page 10 of 7-11-b5, in the first category, it says the exterior material must be installed in a professional work like manner and shall be guaranteed to be maintenance free for the first ten years. He wondered who would guarantee that. Councilman Wardle felt that it answered that where it stated that the guarantee shall be in writing from the manufacturer. Mr. Campbell said manufacturers will guarantee anything if it is put on correctly. If we make spot inspections and don't see anything wrong and pass it off, then if something happens they may come back and say the City accepted it and it is their problem. He didn't like guaranteeing that. Under item five addressing design variations, it would be difficult to go out to every house to see if the house next door has similar features. We may have a problem enforcing this. He stated he is not a proponent of design reviews for single family dwellings, but if we are adopting one, this is a good working solution. The contractors should be able to make this work.

Chairman Johnson said his first concern can be easily addressed. They could just insert something on the building permit where they certify that they have met the requirements. Mr. Baker said this document is simply a statement of the City's standards. If the manufacturer tells us this stuff is good for ten years, we are good. We just don't want substandard materials used. The City staff will not have any enforcement responsibility to make sure that the material lasts ten years. This is only a statement of the City's standard. Chairman Johnson said he didn't have an issue with having the affirmative representation statement on the building permit that all materials used on the exterior of the home are guaranteed for ten years. Mr. Baker responded that the building permit is already 11"x17" and they didn't want to make it longer. Having the standard in the ordinance is a more powerful statement than having it on the permit. Chairman Johnson said the only intent of this is to make sure that the materials used are warranted for at least ten years.

Councilman Hansen said if Mr. Campbell is not comfortable with it, then he wasn't either. Mr. Campbell said he is not comfortable with things that put a burden on his department. With the discussion we've had, if there is ever a question we can go back to the minutes from this meeting and see what the intent is. Councilman Hansen asked if they needed a disclaimer? Mr. Campbell said this is not a guarantee.

Mr. Campbell's other concern was with making sure that the same homes were not built next to each other. Chairman Johnson said the intent that he got out of it was they could not have the same house on either side of one home, and if they have the same model next to each other, they need to make them look different.

Mr. Campbell said he wanted them to pass this ordinance as soon as possible to allow for the builders to change their designs before January 1, 2007.

Councilman Wardle said that everyone who has sat in a predevelopment meeting since May have been warned that single family design standards would be implemented this year. Chairman Johnson wanted copies of these sent to the Recorder's office for distribution. Mr. Campbell said his department will send a copy to each developer/builder who has come in for a permit.

Councilman Bevan stated he had a couple of concerns because he didn't want there to be the perception that we are precluding anyone from having a house. He didn't want the public to think that we were not allowing lower income people to build homes by implementing the design guidelines. He felt they should add something in there about yards and having them in within a reasonable amount of time. Mr. Campbell said he didn't think this is where it should go. Chairman Johnson said many municipalities require front yards to be put in when a home is built.

Mr. Baker recommended to the Council that they separate the building design issue and the landscaping issue. They are two different areas. We review the building design before someone moves in. Landscaping is done after the people move in. He suggested that they could bring in a landscaping ordinance later. Mr. Campbell said we could treat it just like we do the park strip trees and hold a bond or deposit that would be released when the landscaping was in. He did note however that there are only certain times of year that you can do a yard. The Council determined the two issues should be handled separately.

Chairman Johnson said he was concerned about page 11 where it addressed design variation. He felt the terminology was vague and required the City to be arbitrary. Mr. Baker said they could tighten it up by saying "the same or nearly the same exterior design, as determined by the City . . ."

The Council determined that they wanted it to read as Mr. Baker suggested.

Chairman Johnson asked if they had any feedback on this? Mr. Campbell said one Realtor didn't like the minimum square footage increase from 900 square feet to 1,100 square feet. The Council determined that they didn't have a problem with the increased square footage. The Council asked what percentage of homes built today would already comply with this ordinance? Mr. Campbell felt that about 70% would meet the criteria.

Chairman Johnson stated this was a public hearing. No one came forward to address the issue. **Councilman Hansen moved to close the public hearing.** Councilman Pruden seconded the motion. All members present voted, "Aye." The public hearing closed at 8:19 p.m.

9. Motion on Ordinance 2006-22

Councilman Wardle moved to approve Ordinance 2006-22 with the following amendments:

- 1. In 7-11b-10 "Applicability" the date to be inserted will be December 16, 1998, but the ordinance will apply to building permit applications received on or after January 1, 2007.**
- 2. In 7-11b-5 paragraph seven, the required two car garage may be attached or detached.**
- 3. In 7-11b-5 paragraph five "Design Variation" "the same or very similar exterior design" will be changed to "the same or nearly the same."**

Councilman Pruden seconded the motion. All members present voted, "Aye."

Chairman Johnson instructed Mr. Baker to bring the landscape ordinance to them shortly.

10. Resolution 2006-38 A Resolution of the Tooele City Council Approving a Settlement and Release Agreement with L & L Associates

Presented by Roger Baker

This resolution concerns the Lamb litigation over the construction of the wastewater treatment plant. The City believes the lawsuit is frivolous and are defending it. However, some of the subcontractors that legitimately performed work and that we continue to need their services were not paid by Lamb. We did pay Lamb, but Lamb did not pay the subcontractors. We have determined that, on a case by case basis, where it is in the City's interest, we can pay some of the subcontractors out of the retainage so long as Lamb consents. We did this once before with a company called Kruger. Another company, L & L Associates, has been working with us and Lamb to have an approximately \$10,000 payment made to them. He noted one change that he had written in, and recommended approval to pay L & L \$9,864. Chairman Johnson asked if Lamb is willing to sign off on this? Mr. Baker said yes. Lamb will have to sign the agreement and the check.

Councilman Pruden moved to approve Resolution 2006-38. Councilman Hansen seconded the motion. All members present voted, "Aye."

11. Resolution 2006-39 A Resolution of the Tooele City Council Authorizing the Mayor to Sign a Contract with Aqua Engineering for Engineering Services Related to Design of the Phase 1 Expansion and Upgrade of the Existing Wastewater Treatment Plant

Presented by Paul Hansen

In 1996 Tooele City made the decision to expand the wastewater treatment plant. At that time there was no anticipation of the kind of growth the City would see these past ten years. We are at a point that we need to add sewer capacity. In January of this year the Council authorized a contract with Aqua Engineering for an engineering study to see where and how to expand and to give us some direction. They have come back to the administration with a recommendation and we have shared this with the Council. He recommended that we expand the present sewer plant capacity by an additional 2.25 million gallons per day. That doubles our present capacity. This contract is solely for the engineering design of that facility in the amount of \$362,300. This is one of those landmark projects that will serve Tooele City for a long time. We will need to come back to the Council for future contract approval once the design is complete for construction services. This will get us through permitting and we will have actual plans that we will be able to put out for bid. There is a schedule in the agreement that calls for the design to be complete in six months and ready to bid. The actual construction will take an estimated 18 months, so in approximately two years the expansion should be online.

Chairman Johnson expressed his desire that the County will join us in this project and asked Mr. Hansen if he was going to meet with Jim Lawrence and get the County's input as well? Mr. Hansen said this does nothing to take away from further expansions. We are working with the County and are anxious to come to an agreement that works for both the City and the County. This project allows us to meet the City's needs. He recommended that they approve the resolution.

Councilman Hansen moved to approve Resolution 2006-39. Councilman Bevan seconded the motion. All members present voted, "Aye."

12. Minutes September 20, 2006 (Work Session) and September 20, 2006

Councilman Hansen moved to approve the minutes for both meetings held on September 20, 2006 as presented. Councilman Wardle seconded the motion. All members present voted, "Aye."

13. Invoices

Ms. Dawson presented the following invoices for payment:

- ICOP for three in-car cameras for the Police Department in the amount of \$14,652.54
- Green Source in the amount of \$10,417.50 for fertilizing at the golf course and other park areas
- Holmes Roberts & Owen in the amount of \$33,892.93 for the August Tooele Associates Litigation fees
- Aqua Engineering preapproval for the sewer plant expansion engineering contract in an amount not to exceed \$362,300.

Councilman Hansen moved to pay the stated invoices. Councilman Pruden seconded the motion. All members present voted, "Aye."

14. Mayor's Report

Mayor Dunlavy reported the following:

- The City and County are trying to come to a cooperative agreement as to a wastewater

- treatment facility.
- The Downtown Alliance Halloween event has been planned.
- The Utah Industrial Depot transition is still proceeding.

Councilman Pruden thanked the Mayor and the Parks and Recreation Department for their support of the “Fridays on Vine” program this summer. He felt it was a huge success.

15. Adjourn

Councilman Pruden moved to adjourn the meeting. Councilman Wardle seconded the motion. All members present voted, “Aye.” The meeting adjourned at 8:35 p.m.

Approved this 1st Day of November 2006

Michael Johnson, Chairman